arXiv:1512.09264v2 [math.AG] 1 Aug 2016

ON A NOTION OF TORIC SPECIAL LINEAR SYSTEMS

JOAQUÍN MORAGA

Abstract. In this note we study linear systems on complete simplicial toric varieties X with an invariant point whose orbit under the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ contains the dense torus T of X. We give a characterization of such varieties in terms of its defining fan and introduce a new definition of expected dimension of linear systems which consider the contribution given by certain toric subvarieties. Finally, we study degenerations of linear systems on these toric varieties induced by toric degenerations.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Basic Setup 3
2. Automorphism Group of Toric Varieties 4
3. Linear Systems via Cox Rings and Degenerations 8
References 12

Introduction

Given an algebraic variety X, the problem of determining the dimension of the linear system of hypersurfaces of X of a given divisor class passing through finitely many points in very general position with prescribed multiplicities is an open problem in algebraic geometry. In the case of $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, the Segre-Harbourne-Gimiglianо-Hirschowitz conjecture [7–9, 13] predicts the dimension of such linear systems. For $X = \mathbb{P}^3$ there is an analogous conjecture (see [10, 11]). The case $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ is studied in [3], where the authors prove that the linear subspaces passing through the general points can give contribution to the dimension of the linear system when they are contained in the base locus with certain multiplicities. There are also results in other kind of varieties, for example Hirzebruch surfaces and products of projective lines (see [6] and [12]). In any variety of dimension equal or greater than two, the problem remains open.

In this note we study the above problem for X a complete simplicial toric variety admitting an automorphism which maps a very general point into an invariant one. We will denote by $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ the divisor class group of X. Given a class $[D] \in \mathrm{Cl}(X)$, k points $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in X$ and k non-negative integers $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{[D]}(p_1^{\mu_1}, \ldots, p_k^{\mu_k})$ the linear system of hypersurfaces of divisor class $[D]$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C20, Secondary 14M25.

passing through p_i with multiplicity at least μ_i for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. The *virtual dimension* of $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{[D]}(p_1^{\mu_1}, \dots, p_k^{\mu_k})$ is

$$\operatorname{vdim}(\mathcal{L}) = \dim([D]) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \binom{n+\mu_i-1}{n} - 1.$$

The *expected dimension* of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\operatorname{edim}(\mathcal{L}) = \max(\operatorname{vdim}(\mathcal{L}), -1)$. We say that $\mathcal{L}$ is *special* if the inequality $\dim(\mathcal{L}) > \operatorname{edim}(\mathcal{L})$ holds, otherwise we say that the system is *non-special*.

In the following we describe the main results of this paper. First, we study the existence of automorphisms of toric varieties mapping points of the dense torus into invariant points. In this direction, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The complete toric variety $X(\Sigma)$ admits an automorphism which maps an invariant point into the dense torus if and only if there exists a smooth full-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that*

$$\Sigma(1) \setminus \sigma(1) \subset -\sigma.$$

In this case, σ defines such invariant point.

We will call such toric varieties *quasi-transitive*. Our next main result concerns linear systems on quasi-transitive toric varieties. Given a linear system $\mathcal{L}$ on a quasi-transitive toric variety $X(\Sigma)$, we introduce the *toric expected dimension* (see Definition 3.3), denoted by $\operatorname{tedim}(\mathcal{L})$, which is the expected dimension of $\mathcal{L}$ considering the contribution given by the toric subvarieties passing through the invariant point whose orbit under $\operatorname{Aut}(X(\Sigma))$ contains T. The second main result of this paper is the following (see Theorem 3.4 for the complete statement).

Theorem 2. *Let $p_1, \dots, p_k$ be points in very general position on a quasi-transitive toric variety $X(\Sigma)$. Then we have that*

$$\dim(\mathcal{L}) \geq \operatorname{tedim}(\mathcal{L}) \geq \operatorname{edim}(\mathcal{L})$$

for $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{[D]}(p_1^{\mu_1}, \dots, p_k^{\mu_k})$.

We call the linear system *toric special* if the first inequality is strict, and *toric non-special* otherwise. Given a linear system $\mathcal{L}$ on a quasi-transitive toric variety $X(\Sigma)$ and certain toric degeneration of $X(\Sigma)$, in Theorem 3.9 we relate the toric non-speciality of the linear system $\mathcal{L}$ with the toric non-speciality of the degenerate linear systems. Finally, we give examples of linear systems that are special and toric non-special and linear systems that are toric special.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 1 we recall some definitions and notation from toric geometry and Cox rings. Section 2 is devoted to the characterization of complete simplicial toric varieties admitting an automorphism which maps an invariant point into the torus. In Section 3 we introduce the concept of toric non-special linear systems and study toric degenerations of such linear systems.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Antonio Laface for many useful conversations.

1. Basic Setup

In this section, we shortly recall the standard notation of toric varieties. The notation of toric geometry follows [5] while the notation of Cox rings is the one from [1].

We work over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic zero. Let N be a finitely generated free abelian group and let $M = \mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ be the dual of N. We denote by $N_{\mathbb{Q}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $M_{\mathbb{Q}} = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ the associated rational vector spaces. Given a pointed convex polyhedral cone $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we denote by $\sigma^\vee \subset M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ its dual cone, by $\mathbb{K}[\sigma^\vee \cap M]$ the monoid ring of $(\sigma^\vee, +)$ and by $X(\sigma) = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[\sigma^\vee \cap M])$ the associated affine toric variety.

We define a *fan* Σ to be a finite set of pointed convex polyhedral cones of $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that the face of any cone in Σ is again in Σ, and the intersection of two cones in Σ is a face of both. Given a fan Σ we can define a toric variety, denoted by $X(\Sigma)$, by gluing the affine toric varieties $X(\sigma)$ and $X(\sigma')$ along $X(\sigma \cap \sigma')$ whenever σ and σ' are cones of Σ. Any μ-dimensional face of σ defines a $(n-\mu)$-dimensional orbit of $X(\sigma)$. The above gluing is along T-invariant subvarieties, then $X(\Sigma)$ is also endowed with a T-action. We denote by $\Sigma(\mu)$ the set of μ-dimensional faces of Σ.

Given an algebraic variety X, we denote by $\mathrm{WDiv}(X)$ the group of Weil divisors of X and $\mathrm{PDiv}(X)$ the group of principal divisors of X. For a toric variety $X(\Sigma)$, we denote by $\mathrm{WDiv}_T(X(\Sigma))$ and $\mathrm{PDiv}_T(X(\Sigma))$ the group of T-invariant Weil divisors and T-invariant principal divisors, respectively. There is a bijection between the T-invariant divisors of $X(\Sigma)$ and the one-dimensional faces of Σ. We denote by $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_r$ the primitive lattice generators of the one-dimensional faces of Σ, and by D_i the T-invariant divisor associated to ρ_i for each i.

Given an algebraic variety X which is irreducible, normal, with only constant invertible functions and finitely generated divisor class group, we define its Cox rings to be

$$\mathcal{R}(X) = \bigoplus_{[D] \in \mathrm{Cl}(X)} H^0\left(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)\right).$$

In Section 2 we give an explicit construction of the Cox ring of certain toric varieties, we refer the reader to [1, Chapter 2] for the general construction.

Finally, we recall the construction of projective toric varieties from polytopes. Given a full-dimensional convex compact polytope $P \subset M_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we denote by Σ_P its dual fan and $X(P)$ the toric variety associated to the dual fan. Observe that we can write

$$P = \{m \in M_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \langle m, \rho_i \rangle \leq -d_i, \text{ for } i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}\}$$

for certain integers $d_1, \ldots, d_r$. The divisor $D_P = \sum_{i=1}^r d_i D_i$ defines an ample divisor on $X(P)$, and we say that D_P is the divisor of $X(P)$ associated to the polytope P. Observe that different polytopes can define exactly the same toric variety if they have the same dual fan, but the associated divisors will define different embeddings into projective spaces. We say that a polytope is smooth if it defines a smooth toric variety.

2. Automorphism Group of Toric Varieties

In this section we study toric varieties $X(\Sigma)$ containing an invariant point, such that there exists an automorphism mapping such point into the torus. We describe the Cox ring of such toric varieties in order to study their automorphisms groups.

Definition 2.1. We say that an invariant point of $X(\Sigma)$ is *transitive on the torus* if its orbit with respect to $\mathrm{Aut}(X(\Sigma))$ contains a point of the torus, or equivalently, its orbit with respect to $\mathrm{Aut}(X(\Sigma))$ contains the torus. We say that a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is *transitive on the torus* if σ is full-dimensional and the corresponding invariant point is transitive on the torus. Given a complete simplicial toric variety $X(\Sigma)$ which contains an invariant point which is transitive on the torus we say that it is a *quasi-transitive toric variety*.

In what follows we will fix a basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of N, given an element $\rho \in N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ we denote by ρ_i its i-th coordinate on this basis. If $X(\Sigma)$ is a quasi-transitive toric variety we can assume without loss of generality that $\sigma = \langle -e_1, \ldots, -e_n \rangle$ is in Σ and is transitive on the torus. First, we will describe these toric varieties as quotient of an open subvariety of an affine space following [1, Section 2.1.1].

Let $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_r$ denote the primitive generators of the one-dimensional faces of Σ, with $r \geq n+1$ and $\rho_i = -e_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $F = \mathbb{Z}^r$ and consider the linear map $P\colon F \to N$ sending the i-th canonical base vector $f_i \in F$ to $\rho_i \in N$. Denote by $\delta \subset F_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the positive orthant and define a fan $\widehat{\Sigma}$ in F consisting on all the faces of δ whose image on N are contained in some cone of Σ. Then P induces a toric morphism

and we have exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{P^*} E \xrightarrow{Q} K \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.1}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow K^* \xrightarrow{Q^*} F \xrightarrow{P} N \longrightarrow 0,$$

where E is the dual of F, $P^*\colon M \to E$ the dual map of P and $Q\colon E \to K = E/P^*(M)$ the induced projection. Since $\rho_i = -e_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we can write

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} -\mathrm{Id}_n & P_0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad Q = \begin{bmatrix} P_0^t & \mathrm{Id}_{r-n} \end{bmatrix},$$

where P_0 is the $n \times (r-n)$ matrix whose columns are the vectos $\rho_{n+1}, \ldots, \rho_r$. Observe that Q induces a K-grading on $\mathbb{K}[E \cap \delta^\vee]$, then by [1, Theorem 2.1.32] we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Cox}(X(\Sigma)) \simeq \mathbb{K}[E \cap \delta^\vee]$$

as K-graded polynomial rings.

Recall that the group $\mathrm{WDiv}^T(X(\Sigma))$ of T-invariant Weil divisors of $X(\Sigma)$ is generated by the T-invariant divisors D_i corresponding to the rays ρ_i of Σ. Then, we have an isomorphism $E \simeq \mathrm{WDiv}^T(X(\Sigma))$ given by

$$e \mapsto \langle e, f_1 \rangle D_1 + \cdots + \langle e, f_r \rangle D_r.$$

Moreover, the injective morphism P^* identifies M with $\mathrm{PDiv}^T(X(\Sigma))$. Thus, we conclude that $K \simeq \mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma))$ and we can write

$$\mathrm{Cox}(X(\Sigma)) \simeq k[x_1, \ldots, x_r], \tag{2.2}$$

$$\deg(x_i) = [D_i] \in \mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma)), \quad \mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Cox}(X(\Sigma))) \simeq \mathbb{K}^r.$$

Denoting by $H = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[K])$ the torus acting on $\operatorname{Cox}(X(\Sigma))$ we have that the subvariety $X(\widehat{\Sigma}) \subset \operatorname{Spec}(\operatorname{Cox}(X(\Sigma)))$ is H-invariant and the morphism p is a good quotient for the induced action on $X(\widehat{\Sigma})$. In the coordinates 2.2 the complement $V(\Sigma)$ of $X(\widehat{\Sigma})$ in $\mathbb{K}^r$ has defining ideal

$$\operatorname{Irr}(\Sigma) = \left\langle \prod_{i\in I} x_i \mid I \subset \{1,\dots,r\} \text{ and } \{\rho_i \mid i \in I\} \text{ are not the rays of a cone of } \Sigma \right\rangle,$$

called the *irrelevant ideal* of $\operatorname{Cox}(X(\Sigma))$.

Now we turn to describe the automorphisms of $X(\Sigma)$, which are induced by H-equivariant automorphisms of $X(\widehat{\Sigma})$. First, observe that any element $t \in T$ defines an automorphism of X so we can identify $T \subset \operatorname{Aut}(X(\Sigma))$. We denote by $\operatorname{Aut}(N,\Sigma)$ the subgroup of automorphism of N preserving the fan Σ, any such automorphism induces an automorphism of $X(\Sigma)$. Finally, we say that $m \in M$ is a *Demazure root* of Σ if the following condition holds:

There exists $i \in \{1,\dots,r\}$ such that $\langle m, \rho_i\rangle = -1$ and $\langle m, \rho_j\rangle \geq 0$, for all $j \neq i$.

We also say that such m is a *Demazure root of* ρ_i. Observe that given a Demazure root m of ρ_i and $t \in \mathbb{K}^*$ we have a K-graded automorphism of $\operatorname{Cox}(X(\Sigma))$ defined by

$$y_{(m,t)}(x_i) = x_i + t\prod_{j\neq i} x_j^{\langle m,\rho_j\rangle} \text{ and } y_{(m,t)}(x_j) = x_j \text{ for all } j \neq i. \tag{2.3}$$

This automorphism induces an automorphism of $X(\Sigma)$. We denote by $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ the set of automorphisms induced by Demazure roots on $X(\Sigma)$. By abuse of notation we also denote by $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ the set of K-graded automorphisms of $\operatorname{Cox}(X(\Sigma))$ defined by 2.3. With the above notation, we state the following theorem proved in [4, Corollary 4.7].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $X(\Sigma)$ be a complete simplicial toric variety. Then $\operatorname{Aut}(X(\Sigma))$ is generated by $T, \mathcal{R}(\Sigma)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(N,\Sigma)$.*

Given a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we denote by $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ the corresponding $\mathbb{T}$-invariant orbit of $X(\Sigma)$. We denote by $\Gamma(\sigma)$ the monoid generated by the classes of $\mathbb{T}$-invariant divisors of $X(\Sigma)$ that do not contain $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$. We write

$$\Upsilon(\Sigma) = \{\Gamma(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}.$$

From [2, Theorem 3.7] we know that $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\sigma'}$ on $X(\Sigma)$ are contained in the same $\operatorname{Aut}(X(\Sigma))$-orbit if and only if there exists an automorphism $\phi\colon \operatorname{Cl}(X(\Sigma)) \to \operatorname{Cl}(X(\Sigma))$ with the following properties:

- $\phi(\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_\sigma)) = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\sigma'})$.
- $\phi(\Upsilon(\Sigma)) = \Upsilon(\Sigma)$.
- There exists a permutation f of elements in $\{1,\dots,r\}$ such that $\phi([D_i]) = [D_{f(i)}]$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Given a quasi-transitive toric variety $X(\Sigma)$, without loss of generality we can assume that $\sigma = \langle -e_1,\dots,-e_n\rangle \in \Sigma$ is transitive in the torus. With this assumption, it is enough to prove that all the entries of P_0 are non-negative with respect to the basis $\{e_1,\dots,e_n\}$.

First, we claim that if P_0 has a negative entry then $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ and $\mathcal{O}_0$ do not lie in the same $\mathrm{Aut}(X(\Sigma))$-orbit using [2, Theorem 3.7]. Recall that under this hypothesis $[D_{n+1}], \dots, [D_r]$ is a basis of $\mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma))$. Indeed, $\operatorname{rank}\mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma)) = r - n$ and $[D_{n+1}], \dots, [D_r]$ generate $\mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma))$ since every $[D_i]$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is in the linear span of $[D_{n+1}], \dots, [D_r]$. Observe that $\Gamma(0)$ is the monoid generated by $[D_1], \dots, [D_r]$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma))$ and $\Gamma(\sigma)$ is the monoid generated by $[D_{n+1}], \dots, [D_r]$. Assume that some entry of P_0 with respect to the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is negative, then $\Gamma(0)$ strictly contains $\Gamma(\sigma)$. Indeed, $\Gamma(\sigma)$ is generated by $[D_{n+1}], \dots, [D_r]$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma))$ as a monoid, $\mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma))$ is freely generated by $[D_{n+1}], \dots, [D_r]$ as a group. Moreover, since $X(\Sigma)$ is complete, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} D_i \to \mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma)) \to 0,$$

where the first map is $e \mapsto \mathrm{div}(\chi^e)$. Taking e to be an element of the canonical basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and using the fact that some entry of P_0 is negative with respect to this basis, we can see that for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the class of $[D_i]$ is linearly equivalent to a sum $a_{n+1}[D_{n+1}] + \cdots + a_r[D_r]$ where some a_i's is negative. Therefore, $\Gamma(0)$ contains an element of the form $a_{n+1}[D_{n+1}] + \cdots + a_r[D_r]$ where some a_i's is negative. Thus, if there exists an automorphism $\phi\colon \mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma)) \to \mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma))$ with $\phi(\Gamma(0)) = \Gamma(\sigma)$ then we have that $\phi(\Upsilon(\Sigma)) \neq \Upsilon(\Sigma)$, since ϕ maps the maximal monoid $\Gamma(0)$ to the monoid $\Gamma(\sigma)$, which is strictly contained in $\Gamma(0)$, leading to a contradiction. Thus, if P_0 has a negative entry, there is no automorphism $\phi\colon \mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma)) \to \mathrm{Cl}(X(\sigma))$ with $\phi(\Gamma(0)) = \Gamma(\sigma)$ and $\phi(\Upsilon(\Sigma)) = \Upsilon(\Sigma)$, proving the claim.

Now, assume that all the entries of the matrix P_0 are non-negative. Then, for every point $p \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^r$ there exists $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that the first n coordinates of $y_{(e_1,t_1)} \circ \cdots \circ y_{(e_n,t_n)}(p)$ vanish, this automorphism of the Cox ring induces an automorphism of $X(\Sigma)$ which maps a point of the torus to the invariant point corresponding to σ. □

Definition 2.3. Given a full-dimensional polytope $P \subset M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and a smooth vertex $p \in P$ we define the *convex capsule of p in P* as follows: Pick all the one-dimensional faces of P which contain p, since p is a smooth vertex and P is full-dimensional we have exactly n one-dimensional faces containing it. Call $p_1, \dots, p_n$ the vertices of such one-dimensional faces which are not p and Let H be the hyperplane passing through $p_1, \dots, p_n$. The convex capsule of p in P is then the convex hull of $p, p_1, \dots, p_n$ and the reflection of p with respect to H. Given a smooth vertex p of a polytope P, we say that p is *transitive on P* if its convex capsule in P contains P.

Corollary 2.4. *A vertex p in a full-dimensional smooth polytope $P \subset M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ defines an invariant point which is transitive on the torus if and only if p is transitive on P.*

Example 2.5. The first polytope correspond to the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at the three invariant points, the shaded square corresponds to the convex capsule of one of its vertices, from the picture we conclude that such blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ is not quasi-transitive. The second polytope correspond to the Hirzebruch surface Σ_1 and from Corollary 2.4 we deduce that the Hirzebruch surface is quasi-transitive. Observe that the vertices on the top of the polytope corresponding to Σ_1 are transitive on the polytope, and the vertices on the bottom are not.



FIGURE 1. Blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$



FIGURE 2. Hirzebruch surface

Corollary 2.6. *Let $X(\Sigma)$ be a complete simplicial toric variety, let p_1 and p_2 be two points which are transitive on the torus. If no invariant divisor contains both points, then $X(\Sigma) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1, p_1 = [1:0] \times \cdots \times [1:0]$ and $p_2 = [0:1] \times \cdots \times [0:1]$.*

Proof. Let σ_1 be the full-dimensional cone of p_1 and σ_2 the full-dimensional cone of p_2. Since no invariant divisor contains both p_1 and p_2, then the rays of σ_1 and σ_2 are disjoint. Moreover, by Theorem 1 we have that $-\sigma_1(1) \subset \sigma_2(1)$ and $-\sigma_2(1) \subset \sigma_1(1)$ and both cones are smooth, then we conclude that $\sigma_1 = -\sigma_2$, applying an automorphism on $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ that maps σ_1 to $\langle -e_1, \ldots, -e_n \rangle$ we conclude the statement. □

Now, we give further description of the Demazure roots of a quasi-transitive toric variety $X(\Sigma)$. As always, we assume that $\langle -e_1, \ldots, -e_n \rangle \in \Sigma$ is transitive on the torus. By Theorem 1 we can assume that the matrix Q equals $\begin{bmatrix} P_0^t & \mathrm{Id}_{r-n} \end{bmatrix}$, where P_0 is a matrix whose entries are non-negative. Denote by

$$I_j = \{ i \mid \text{ the } i\text{-th column of } Q \text{ is } e_j \},$$

for $1 \leq j \leq r-n$ and

$$I = \{ i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } i \text{ is not contained in some } I_j \}.$$

Observe that any $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ is contained in some of such sets. Moreover, we have that $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ belongs to I_j exactly when the $(j+n)$-th column of P has Demazure root $-e_i$ and $i \in I$ if and only if no column of P has Demazure root $-e_i$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $X(\Sigma)$ be a quasi-transitive toric variety with*

$$\sigma = \langle -e_1, \ldots, -e_n \rangle \in \Sigma$$

being transitive on the torus. If $\rho \in \Sigma(1) \setminus \sigma(1)$ has a Demazure root m, then $m = -e_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Proof. Recall from Theorem 1 that the entrie of ρ with respect to the basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ are non-negative. Since $\langle m, e_i \rangle \leq 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ we conclude that there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that the j-th coordinate of ρ is 1 and $\langle m, e_j \rangle = -1$. Morever, for each $i \neq j$ we have that the i-th coordinate of ρ is zero or $\langle m, e_i \rangle = 0$. Let $i \neq j$ and assume that $\langle m, e_i \rangle < 0$, then we have that the i-th coordinate of any ray $\rho' \in \Sigma(1)$ with $\rho' \notin \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\rho' \neq \rho$, vanishes, contradicting the completeness of Σ. □

Remark 2.8. Clearly, the group of H-equivariant automorphisms of $X(\widehat{\Sigma})$ acts linearly on the variables $\{x_j \mid i \in I_j\}$. Moreover, the rays $-e_1, \ldots, -e_n$ of a quasi-transitive toric variety $X(\Sigma)$ can have several Demazure roots. For a ray $-e_i$ with $i \in I$, if there existst j with $k = |I_j \cap \{1, \ldots, n\}| \geq 1$ such that the $(i, j+n)$ entry

of the matrix P is greater or equal than 1, then $-e_i$ has at least k Demazure roots given by $\{e_i - e_k \mid k \in I_j \cap \{1,\dots,n\}\}$.

3. Linear Systems via Cox Rings and Degenerations

In this section we study linear system of hypersurfaces on quasi-transitive toric varieties passing through finitely many points in very general position with prescribed multiplicies. In this section we turn to give a new definition of *special linear systems* on quasi-transitive toric varieties.

First, we start recalling how to construct a basis of the Riemann-Roch space of a T-invariant divisor on a quasi-transitive toric variety $X(\Sigma)$. Recall that we assume that the cone $\langle -e_1,\dots,-e_n\rangle \in \Sigma$ is transitive on the torus. In this case the divisor classes of the T-invariant divisors D_i with $i \geq n+1$ generates $\mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma))$. Given a class $[D] \in \mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma))$ we can pick a representative of the class of the form $D = \sum_{i=n+1}^{r} d_i D_i$, which we call *standard form*. Given $m \in M$ we denote by

$$x^m = x^{P^*(m)} \in \mathbb{K}[x_1^{\pm 1},\dots,x_r^{\pm 1}]$$

where P^* is defined by the exact sequence 2.1. We define an element $x^D \in k[x_1,\dots,x_r]$ by

$$x^D = \prod_{i=n+1}^{r} x_i^{d_i},$$

then we have that

$$H^0\left(X(\Sigma),\mathcal{O}_{X(\Sigma)}(D)\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{m\in P(D)\cap M} \mathbb{K}x^D x^m,$$

where

$$P(D) = \{m \in M_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \langle m,\rho_i\rangle \leq -d_i, \text{ for } i \in \{1,\dots,r\}\}.$$

Observe that all the elements $x^D x^m$ with $m \in P(D)\cap M$ are homogeneous of the same degree in the Cox ring of $X(\Sigma)$ and in this case the polytope $P(D)$ is contained in the first orthant of $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then, the dimension of the Riemann-Roch space of D is equal to the number of lattice points of $P(D)$.

Remark 3.1. (Points in very general position) Given a quasi-transitive toric variety $X(\Sigma)$ and k points $p_1,\dots,p_k \in X(\Sigma)$, consider the scheme $X(\Sigma)_{[k]}$ parametrizing k-tuples of points in $X(\Sigma)$ and let $\mathcal{P} \in X(\Sigma)_{[k]}$ be the point $p_1+\cdots+p_k$. Given non-negative integers $\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k$ denote by $\mathcal{U}([D],\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k) \subset X(\Sigma)_{[k]}$ the open subset where $\dim\left(\mathcal{L}_{[D]}(p_1^{\mu_1},\dots,p_k^{\mu_k})\right)$ attains its minimal value. We denote

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigcap_{([D],\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k)} \mathcal{U}([D],\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k),$$

where the intersection runs over all the k-tuples of non-negative integers and all the divisor classes $[D] \in \mathrm{Cl}(X(\Sigma))$. We say that $p_1,\dots,p_k$ are in *very general position* if the corresponding $\mathcal{P}$ is in $\mathcal{U}$. Observe that when $p_1,\dots,p_k \in X(\Sigma)$ are points in very general position, then $\mathcal{L}_{[D]}(p_1^{\mu_1},\dots,p_k^{\mu_k})$ is independent of $p_1,\dots,p_k$, so we denote such linear system by $\mathcal{L}_{[D]}(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k)$.

Notation 3.2. Given a quasi-transitive toric variety $X(\Sigma)$, a divisor in standard form D of $X(\Sigma)$, $\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k$ non-negative integers and k points $p_1,\dots,p_k \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ in very general position, we will construct a matrix denoted by $M(D,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k)$.

For $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we denote

$$\Delta(\mu) = \{u \in M \mid \langle u, e_1 + \cdots + e_n\rangle \leq \mu \text{ and } \langle u, e_i\rangle \geq 0 \text{ for each } i\}.$$

For each $u \in \Delta(\mu)$ we denote

$$\frac{d}{dx^u} = \frac{d^{\langle u,e_1\rangle+\cdots+\langle u,e_n\rangle}}{dx_1^{\langle u,e_1\rangle}\dots dx_n^{\langle u,e_n\rangle}}.$$

Given a lattice point $m \in P(D)\cap M$ we denote by y^m the monomial $x^D x^m$ evaluated at $x_{n+1} = \cdots = x_r = 1$. We define $M(D, \mu_i)$ to be the matrix whose columns are indexed by $P(D) \cap M$, its rows are indexed by $\Delta(\mu_i)$, and the entry corresponding to (m, u) is

$$\frac{dy^m}{dx^u}\Big|_{p_i}.$$

Then we define

$$M(D, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) = \begin{bmatrix} M(D, \mu_1) \\ M(D, \mu_2) \\ \vdots \\ M(D, \mu_k) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Given a divisor D of $X(\Sigma)$, we denote by $M(D, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ the matrix $M(D', \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$, where D' is in standard form and linearly equivalent to D.

Definition 3.3. Given a quasi-transitive toric variety $X(\Sigma)$, a divisor D of $X(\Sigma)$ in standard form and $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we denote by $\Delta(D, \mu) = \Delta(\mu) \setminus P(D)^c$. The *toric virtual dimension* of $\mathcal{L}$ is

$$\operatorname{tvdim}(\mathcal{L}) = \dim([D]) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Delta(D, \mu_i) - 1.$$

The *toric expected dimension* of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\operatorname{tedim}(\mathcal{L}) = \max(\operatorname{tvdim}(\mathcal{L}), -1)$. We say that $\mathcal{L}$ is *toric special* if the inequality $\dim(\mathcal{L}) > \operatorname{tedim}(\mathcal{L})$ holds, otherwise we say that the system is *toric non-special*.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $X(\Sigma)$ be a quasi-transitive toric variety $X(\Sigma)$, D be a divisor of $X(\Sigma)$ in standard form and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ non-negative integers. Then*

$$\dim\left(\mathcal{L}_{[D]}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)\right) = \operatorname{rank}\left(M(D, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)\right).$$

In particular, the inequalities

$$\dim(\mathcal{L}) \geq \operatorname{tedim}(\mathcal{L}) \geq \operatorname{edim}(\mathcal{L})$$

always holds for $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{[D]}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$.

Proof. Recall that an hypersurface of $X(\Sigma)$ whose divisor class is $[D]$ and pass through k very general points $p_1, \dots, p_k \in X(\Sigma)$ with multiplicities $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ is defined by a polynomial in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ of degree $[D]$ which is contained in the ideal

$$\cap_{i=1}^{k} \left(I(p^{-1}(p_i))^{\mu_k} : J(\Sigma)^{\infty}\right), \tag{3.1}$$

where $I(p^{-1}(p_i))$ denote the ideal on $\operatorname{Cox}(X(\Sigma))$ defining the fiber over p_i. Moreover, we have that

$$I(p^{-1}(p_i)) = \left\langle x_j - p_{i,j} \prod_{k=n+1}^{r} x_k^{\rho_{k,j}} \mid j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \right\rangle,$$

for $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$, where $p_{i,j}$ and $\rho_{k,j}$ denotes the j-th coordinate of p_i and ρ_k respectively. Observe that the fibers are irreducible. We claim that

$$(I(p^{-1}(p_i))^{\mu_k} \colon J(\Sigma)^{\infty}) = I(p^{-1}(p_i))^{\mu_k}$$

for each i and μ_k. First we prove the case $\mu_k = 1$. If $J(\Sigma)^{\mu} f \subset I(p^{-1}(p_i))$ for some element $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_1,\dots,x_r]$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then the vanishing set of the ideal generated by $J(\Sigma)^{\mu} f$ is contained in $V(x_{n+1}\dots x_r) \cup V(x_1) \cup \cdots \cup V(x_n) \cup V(f)$, and since $p^{-1}(p_i)$ is irreducible and is not contained in some $V(x_i)$ or in $V(x_{n+1}\dots x_r)$, we conclude that $p^{-1}(p_i) \subset V(f)$, proving the claim. Now, we proceed by induction on μ_k for some fixed i. Clearly we have the inclusion $\supset$, for the other inclusion pick

$$f \in (I(p^{-1}(p_i))^{\mu_k} \colon J(\Sigma)^{\infty}) \subset (I(p^{-1}(p_i))^{\mu_{k-1}} \colon J(\Sigma)^{\infty}) = I(p^{-1}(p_i))^{\mu_{k-1}},$$

then we can write $f = gh$ for some $g \in I(p^{-1}(p_i))^{\mu_{k-1}}$ and $h \in \mathbb{K}[x_1,\dots,x_r]$. Thus, for some $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we have that $J(\Sigma)^{\mu} gh \subset I(p^{-1}(p_i))^{\mu_k}$. Taking the derivatives up to the order $\mu_k - 1$ with respect to the variables $x_1,\dots,x_n$ of the elements of $J(\Sigma)^{\mu} gh$, the above implies that $h \in (I(p^{-1}(p_i)) \colon J(\Sigma)^{\infty}) = I(p^{-1}(p_i))$ concluding the claim.

Observe that a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ belongs to

$$\cap_{i=1}^{k} \left(I(p^{-1}(p_i))\right)^{\mu_k}$$

if and only if its derivatives up to order $\mu_i - 1$ with respect to $x_1,\dots,x_n$ vanishes at

$$x_j = p_{i,j} \prod_{k=n+1}^{r} x_k^{\rho_{k,j}}, \tag{3.2}$$

for $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ and $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$. Now, we construct a matrix whose rank is the dimension of $\mathcal{L}_{[D]}(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k)$. Let $M'(D,\mu_i)$ be the matrix whose columns are indexed by $P(D) \cap M$, its rows are indexed by $\Delta(\mu_i)$ and the entry corresponding to (m,u) is the element

$$\frac{dy^m}{dx^u},$$

evaluated at 3.2. Observe that the entries of $M'(D,\mu_i)$ are elements of the ring $\mathbb{K}[x_{n+1},\dots,x_r]$. Then we define

$$M'(D,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k) = \begin{bmatrix} M'(D,\mu_1) \\ M'(D,\mu_2) \\ \vdots \\ M'(D,\mu_k) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, it suffices to show that $M = M(D,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k)$ and $M' = M'(D,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k)$ have the same rank. Since both matrices have the same size, we can identify its squares submatrices. Observe that if a square submatrix N' of M' has determinant equal to 0, then the corresponding square submatrix N of M has determinant equal to 0, since the matrix M is obtained from M' by evaluating $x_{n+1} = \cdots = x_r = 1$. On the other hand, if N is a square submatrix of M whose determinant is zero, then the determinant of the corresponding square matrix N' of M' is a polynomial in $\mathbb{K}[x_{n+1},\dots,x_r]$ which vanishes for $x_{n+1} = p_{n+1},\dots,x_r = p_r$, where $(p_{n+1},\dots,p_r) \in \mathbb{K}^{r-n}$ is very general, then the determinant of N' is zero.

For the second statement, observe that the rows of the matrix $M(D,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k)$ corresponding to $u \in M \cap P(D)^c$ are null, then the first inequality holds and the second inequality holds by definition. □

Example 3.5. In [12] there is a complete study of the toric speciality in the case of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. In this case, the toric contribution through a point p_i correspond to the fibers of the projections $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^k$, with $k < n$, passing through the point p_i. In this case, there is an explicit formula to compute $\operatorname{tedim}(\mathcal{L})$.

From now, we will turn to study linear systems in smooth projective varieties. P will denote a smooth polytope and $X(P)$ the associated toric variety. We denote by $\mathcal{L}_P(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k)$ the linear system of hypersurfaces of $X(P)$ of divisor class corresponding to the ample divisor determined by P passing through k very general points with multiplicities $\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k$ respectively. Morever, we denote by $M(P,\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k)$ the matrix introduced in 3.2 corresponding to the divisor associated to P in $X(P)$.

Definition 3.6. Given a polytope P, we say that it is in *standard form* if it is a full-dimensional polytope contained in the positive orthant of $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the origin its a transitive smooth vertex of P, and all the one-dimensional vertex of P passing through the origin are contained in the lines $\mathbb{Q}e_i$ for some $i \in \{1,\dots,n\}$.

Notation 3.7. Let P be a smooth polytope in standard form. Given $i \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we define the polytopes

$$P^+_{c-1} = \{m \in P \mid m_i \geq c-1\}, \qquad P^+_c = \{m \in P \mid m_i \geq c\},$$
$$P^-_{c-1} = \{m \in P \mid m_i \leq c-1\}, \qquad P^-_c = \{m \in P \mid m_i \leq c\}.$$

Observe that the origin is transitive on P^-_c and P^-_{c-1}, while the point ce_i is transitive on P^+_c and $(c-1)e_i$ is transitive on P^+_{c-1}. Given $s \in \{1,\dots,k\}$ we denote

$$\mathcal{L}^- = \mathcal{L}_{P^-_{c-1}}(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_s), \qquad \mathcal{L}^+ = \mathcal{L}_{P^+_c}(\mu_{s+1},\dots,\mu_k).$$

Finally, given $\mu, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we denote by

$$\Delta(c,\mu) = \left\{ m \in M \mid \langle m, e_i\rangle \geq c \text{ for each } i \text{ and } \sum_{j\neq i} \langle m, e_j\rangle + (\langle m, e_i\rangle - c) \leq \mu \right\}.$$

Remark 3.8. The linear systems $\mathcal{L}^+$ and $\mathcal{L}^-$ arise naturally from toric degenerations of the linear system $\mathcal{L}_P(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k)$. Indeed, we have a toric degeneration $X(\mathcal{P}_{c-1}) \to \mathbb{K}$ whose fiber over $t \in \mathbb{K}^*$ is isomorphic to $X(P)$ and the fiber over $0 \in \mathbb{K}$ is isomorphic to the union $X(P^-_{c-1}) \cup X(P^+_{c-1})$ glued along $X(P^-_{c-1} \cap P^+_{c-1})$. Given the linear system $\mathcal{L}_P(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k)$ we can specialize the first $s \leq k$ of such points generically to $X(P^-_{c-1})$ and the other $k-s$ points generically to $X(P^+_{c-1})$ to obtain a degeneration of the linear system into

$$\mathcal{L}_{P^-_{c-1}}(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_s) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{P^+_{c-1}}(\mu_{s+1},\dots,\mu_k).$$

Analogously, we can degenerate $\mathcal{L}$ to obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{P^-_c}(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_s) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{P^+_c}(\mu_{s+1},\dots,\mu_k).$$

Theorem 3.9. *Using the notation of 3.7, assume that the following conditions hold:*

- $\mathcal{L}^+$ *and* $\mathcal{L}^-$ *are toric non-special and* $(\operatorname{tvdim}(\mathcal{L}^+)+1)(\operatorname{tvdim}(\mathcal{L}^-)+1) \geq 0$,

- $\Delta(c, \mu_i) \subset P_c^+$ *for any* $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$,
- $\Delta(0, \mu_i) \subset P_{c-1}^-$ *for any* $i \in \{s+1, \dots, k\}$.

Then $\mathcal{L}_P(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ *is toric non-special.*

Proof. Consider the matrix $M(P, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ and reorder the rows and columns such that the first columns corresponds to the points of P_c^+ and the first rows corresponds to the conditions of the points $p_1, \dots, p_s$. Writting

$$p_i = (p_{i,1}, \dots, p_{i,n}) \in \mathbb{K}^n$$

for the coordinates of p_i, we can consider the entries of the matrix $M(P, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ as polynomials in

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{K}[p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,n}, \dots, p_{k,1}, \dots, p_{k,n}].$$

We define a grading on $\mathcal{R}$ defined by $\deg(p_{i,j}) = 1$ if $j = n$ and $i \geq s+1$ and $\deg(p_{i,j}) = 0$ otherwise. Moreover, we can write

$$M(P, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_r) = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & K_1 \\ K_2 & M_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and applying Theorem 3.4 to the toric varieties $X(P_c^+)$ and $X(P_{c-1}^-)$ with the corresponding divisor associated to P_c^+ and P_{c-1}^- respectively, we conclude that M_1 and M_2 have maximal rank. Without loss of generality we assume that we can obtain a square submatrix M_1' of M_1 and a square submatrix M_2' of M_2 by deleting columns. We denote by M' the square submatrix of $M(P, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$ obtained by deleting such columns, then we can write

$$M' = \begin{bmatrix} M_1' & K_1' \\ K_2' & M_2' \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have that $\deg(\det(M_2')) > \deg(\det(B))$ for any square submatrix B of $[K_2' \quad M_2']$. Thus, by Laplace expansion with respect to the rows of M_1 we conclude that $\deg(\det(M')) = \deg(\det(M_1')\det(M_2')) > 0$, and the result follows. □

Example 3.10. Let $X(\Sigma_1)$ be the Hirzebruch surface of Picture 2, consider the linear system $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{[nD_3+mD_4]}(m+1, \dots, m+1)$ passing through $\lfloor \frac{n}{m+1} \rfloor$ points in very general position, where D_3 is the $\mathbb{T}$-invariant divisor corresponding to the ray $(1,1)$ and D_4 is the $\mathbb{T}$-invariant divisor corresponding to the ray $(0,1)$. Using Theorem 3.9 we can see that $\mathcal{L}$ is special but is toric non-special. Moreover, we can conclude that each point contributes 1 to the speciality, and is given by the image, via an automorphism, of a $\mathbb{T}$-invariant curve passing through the very general point.

In $\mathbb{P}^n$ the toric non-speciality coincide with the standard non-speciality, therefore any special linear system on $\mathbb{P}^n$ will be toric non-special as well.

In $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ the toric contribution corresponds to the fibers of the morphisms $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^s, 1 \leq s \leq n-1$. In this case, the linear system $\mathcal{L}_{[D_1+\cdots+D_7]}(3,3,3)$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^7$ is toric special, the difference between the dimension and the toric expected dimension is 1 and this contribution is given by certain non-toric subvariety passing through the 3 points.

References

[1] Ivan Arzhantsev, Ulrich Derenthal, Jürgen Hausen, and Antonio Laface, *Cox rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 144, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015. MR3307753 ↑3, 4

[2] Ivan Bazhov, *On orbits of the automorphism group on a complete toric variety*, Beitr. Algebra Geom. **54** (2013), no. 2, 471–481, DOI 10.1007/s13366-011-0084-0. MR3095734 ↑5, 6

[3] Maria Chiara Brambilla, Olivia Dumitrescu, and Elisa Postinghel, *On a notion of speciality of linear systems in* $\mathbb{P}^n$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., posted on 2014, 1–27, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-2014-06212-0, (to appear in print). ↑1

[4] David A. Cox, *Erratum to "The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety" [MR1299003]*, J. Algebraic Geom. **23** (2014), no. 2, 393–398, DOI 10.1090/S1056-3911-2013-00651-7. MR3166395 ↑5

[5] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 124, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011. MR2810322 (2012g:14094) ↑3

[6] Marcin Dumnicki, *Special homogeneous linear systems on Hirzebruch surfaces*, Geom. Dedicata **147** (2010), 283–311, DOI 10.1007/s10711-009-9455-1. MR2660581 (2012a:14011) ↑1

[7] Alessandro Gimigliano, *On linear systems of plane curves*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1987. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Queen's University (Canada). MR2635606 ↑1

[8] Brian Harbourne, *Complete linear systems on rational surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **289** (1985), no. 1, 213–226, DOI 10.2307/1999697. MR779061 (86h:14030) ↑1

[9] André Hirschowitz, *Une conjecture pour la cohomologie des diviseurs sur les surfaces rationnelles génériques*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **397** (1989), 208–213, DOI 10.1515/crll.1989.397.208 (French). MR993223 (90g:14021) ↑1

[10] Antonio Laface and Luca Ugaglia, *On a class of special linear systems of* $\mathbb{P}^3$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 12, 5485–5500 (electronic), DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-06-03891-8. MR2238923 (2007e:14009) ↑1

[11] ———, *Standard classes on the blow-up of* $\mathbb{P}^n$ *at points in very general position*, Comm. Algebra **40** (2012), no. 6, 2115–2129, DOI 10.1080/00927872.2011.573517. MR2945702 ↑1

[12] Antonio Laface and Joaquín Moraga, *Linear systems on the blow-up of* $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$, Linear Algebra and its Applications **492** (2016), 52-67, DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2015.11.009. ↑1, 11

[13] Beniamino Segre, *Alcune questioni su insiemi finiti di punti in geometria algebrica.*, Atti Convegno Internaz. Geometria Algebrica (Torino, 1961), Rattero, Turin, 1962, pp. 15–33 (Italian). MR0146714 (26 #4234) ↑1

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, 155 S 1400 E, Salt Lake City, UT 84112

E-mail address: moraga@math.utah.edu